UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

Eli Lilly	and	Company,)						
		Plaintiff,)						
v.)	Case No. 1	:24-cv-1	.035-SO			
Ed Part Group	tners,	LLC, d/b/a Cleveland	d Health) 1))	Judge Solo	omon Oli	ver, Jr.			
		Defendant.)						
		RULE 26	(F) RE	PORT O	F THE PAR	<u>TIES</u>				
1.		Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and L.R. 16.3(b), a meeting was held on								
Wednes	day, (October 16, 2024, and	l was at	tended l	oy:					
Je	eanna	Wacker, counsel for	Plaintif	ff Eli Lilly	y and Comp	any;				
G	George	e Manley, counsel for	Plaintif	ff Eli Lilly	y and Comp	any;				
M	Matt Cavanagh, counsel for Plaintiff Eli Lilly and Company;									
Ray Weber, counsel for Defendant Ed Partners LLC dba Cleveland Hea Group;										
		Gentilcore, counsel fo Group.	or Defer	ndant Ed	Partners L	LC dba C	Cleveland			
2.		The parties:								
_		have exchanged the initial disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1);								
, 	<u>X</u> _	will exchange such d	isclosu	res by D	ecember 2,	2024;				
_		have not been required to make initial disclosures.								
3.		The parties recommend the following track for this matter:								
	<u>X</u> _	Standard		Expedit	æd		Complex			
		Administrative		Mass To	ort					

4.	This case is suitable for one or more of the following Alternative Disput								
Resolution ("ADR") mechanisms:									
	Early Neutral Evaluation		Mediation		Arbitration				
Summary Jury Trial			Summary Bench Trial		ial				
_	Case not suitable for ADR								

Plaintiff's position is that this case is not presently suitable for ADR, but it may be suitable after some discovery is obtained. Plaintiff's Complaint seeks injunctive relief permanently enjoining Defendant's unlawful acts and is broader than the temporary cessation of Defendant's use of Plaintiff's trademarks that Defendant describes below. Plaintiff also seeks to recover defendant's profits, damages sustained by Plaintiff, and the costs of this action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, as well as a finding that this case is exceptional and recovery of attorney's fees pursuant to same, none of which can be adequately assessed without appropriate discovery. Nevertheless, Plaintiff is amenable to mediation once it has the proper information to fully understand the scope of Defendant's infringement, false designation of origin, false advertising, and deceptive trade practices.

<u>Defendant's position</u> is that this case is ripe for mediation now. Immediately upon receipt of the Complaint in this matter, Defendant's counsel contacted Plaintiff's counsel and began discussions on reaching an early settlement. The several time extensions granted by this Court were for the purpose of accommodating those discussions.

Defendant immediately took steps to terminate the use of the asserted trademarks in its advertising. The FDA had declared a shortage because Plaintiff was unable to meet the market demand. The declaration of shortage permitted compounding by others to benefit the public by assuring the availability of the drug in issue. Because Plaintiff was unable to

meet the market demand, Plaintiff suffered no damages as a result of Defendant's legal activities. There is no need for damages as a deterrence. Defendant's activities were legal, and Defendant has ceased all advertising and distribution of that drug since the FDA has now removed the drug from its shortage list. Injunctive relief is no longer an issue.

Mediation can assess what compensable damage, if any, was suffered by Plaintiff and do so before the parties spend untold resources litigating a matter that has been resolved by the FDA's recent action. Defendant proposes that mediation be held in the month of November 2024.

- 5. The parties ___ do/ _X do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United States

 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).
- 6. Recommended Discovery Plan:
 - (a) Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature and extent of discovery:

Plaintiff: Plaintiff expects to conduct discovery on at least the following subjects: (1)

Defendant's use of Lilly's federally registered MOUNJARO® and ZEPBOUND® marks in

advertising and selling Defendant's products; (2) Defendant's use of the FDA-approval and

clinical trial results of Lilly's medicines in advertising and selling Defendant's products; (3)

Chemical or clinical testing conducted on compounded drugs offered by Defendant; (4)

Defendant's sales of products containing tirzepatide (including both Lilly's medicines and any

compounded formulations purporting to contain tirzepatide); and (5) Defendant's source for

all non-Lilly products purporting to contain tirzepatide.

<u>Defendant</u>: Plaintiff's Complaint is directed to two trademarks, MOUNJARO and ZEPBOUND. In its Answer, Defendant admitted to be the source of the advertising attached to

the Complaint. Defendant has voluntarily provided all information and available documentation regarding its use of the trademarks, sales of products and the profits enjoyed from those sales. Little, if any, additional discovery is required by Plaintiff.

Defendant requires discovery on its Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaim, particularly with regard to (a) Plaintiff's awareness that the FDA had declared a shortage of both MOUNJARO and ZEPBOUND, which permitted Defendant, and others, to find sources of compounded tirzepatide; (b) Plaintiff's awareness that, due to the declared shortage, there would be no lost profits suffered by it as a result of the permitted compounding under FDA 503A; and (c) Plaintiff's maintenance of this suit is to obtain monies and "relief" to which it knows it is not entitled, in an attempt to deter lawful competition.

(b) Discovery cut-off date:

Fact Discovery Cutoff: May 30, 2025

Expert Report Deadline (for issues on which party bears burden of proof): June 30, 2025

Rebuttal Expert Report Deadline: July 30, 2025

Expert Discovery Cutoff: September 8, 2025

- 7. <u>Plaintiff</u>: Recommended dispositive motion date: *October 13, 2025*.

 <u>Defendant</u>: Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss 20 days after mediation.

 Summary judgment motion date same as for Plaintiff.
- 8. Recommended cut-off date for amending the pleadings and/or adding additional parties:

February 28, 2025.

9. Recommended date for a Status Hearing: *February 14, 2025.*

10. Other matters for the attention of the Court:

The parties have nothing to bring to the Court at this time.

Dated: October 18, 2024

s/ Matthew J. Cavanagh

Matthew J. Cavanagh (OH 0079522) McDonald Hopkins LLC 600 Superior Avenue, East, Suite 2100

Cleveland, Ohio 44114 Telephone: (216) 348-5400 Facsimile: (216) 348-5474

mcavanagh@mcdonaldhopkins.com

Counsel for Eli Lilly

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ray L. Weber (by consent)
Ray L. Weber (OH 006497)
Laura J. Gentilcore (OH 0034702)
Renner, Kenner, Greive, Bobak,
Taylor & Weber
106 South Main Street Suite 400
Akron, Ohio 44308
Telephone: (330) 376-1242
Facsimile: (330) 376-9646
rlweber@rennerkenner.com
ljgentilcore@rennerkenner.com

Counsel for Ed Partners, LLC, d/b/a Cleveland Health Group